

# On the free boundary hard phase fluid in Minkowski spacetime

Shuang Miao (Wuhan U)  
Joint with Sohrab Shahshahani (UMass)  
and Sijue Wu (UMich)

Online Series Lectures on Applied Mathematics  
S.T.Yau Center of Southeast University  
Nanjing, June 11, 2020

# Outline

## Part 1 **Introducing the model**

- ▶ Ideal fluids in relativistic framework
- ▶ The hard phase model with free boundary

## Part 2 **Main result I–Well-posedness**

- ▶ Comparison with Newtonian problem
- ▶ A priori estimates

## Part 3 **Main result II–Newtonian limit**

- ▶ Re-scaled equations
- ▶ Uniform estimates

# Relativistic ideal fluids in Minkowski background

- ▶ Let  $(\mathbb{R}^{1+3}, m)$  be the standard Minkowski spacetime with

$$m := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ We denote by  $m_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $m^{\alpha\beta}$  the components for  $m$  and  $m^{-1}$  respectively.
- ▶ All the indices are raised and lowered with respect to  $m$  and  $m^{-1}$ .
- ▶ The Greek letters are all from 0 to 3.

# Relativistic fluids in Minkowski background

- ▶ The motion of the fluid is described by the *fluid velocity* and several *thermodynamical quantities*:
- ▶ The fluid velocity is denoted by

$$u = u^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

and satisfies

$$u^0 > 0, \quad u^\mu u_\mu = -1.$$

# Relativistic fluids in Minkowski background

- ▶ There are five thermodynamic quantities:

$n$  : number density of particles

$p$  : pressure

$\rho$  : energy density

$s$  : entropy per particle

$\theta$  : temperature

- ▶ They satisfy the following relation

$$p = n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial n} - \rho, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s}.$$

- ▶ The *sound speed*  $\eta$  is given by

$$\eta := \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right)_s}, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1.$$

- ▶ Here by choosing appropriate units, we assume the speed of light is 1.

# Relativistic fluids in Minkowski background

- ▶ We also need the *energy-momentum tensor*  $T^{\mu\nu}$  and the *particle current*  $I^\mu$  which are given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} := (\rho + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pm^{\mu\nu}, \quad I^\mu = nu^\mu.$$

- ▶ The equation of motion is given by

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu I^\mu = 0. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Here  $\nabla$  is the canonical Levi-Civita connection of the Minkowski metric  $m$ .

# Barotropic fluids

In this work we consider *barotropic fluids*, namely, the pressure  $p$  is a function of the energy density  $\rho$  *only*:

$$p = f(\rho), \quad f' > 0.$$

Define

$$F(p) := \int_0^p \frac{dp'}{\rho(p') + p'}, \quad V := e^F u,$$

and

$$\|V\| := e^F, \quad \|V\|^2 := -V^\mu V_\mu.$$

## Equation of motion-Alternative

The equation of motion (1) becomes

$$V^\nu \nabla_\nu V^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu (\|V\|^2) = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu (G(\|V\|) V^\mu) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the function  $G$  is defined by

$$G(\|V\|) := \frac{\rho + p}{\|V\|^2}.$$

Note that  $p$  and  $\rho$  are functions of  $\|V\|$ .



# The hard phase model-Assumptions

- ▶ We assume the fluid is *irrotational*:

$$\nabla_{\mu} V_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu} V_{\mu} = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad V^{\mu} = \nabla^{\mu} \phi$$

for a scalar function  $\phi$ .

- ▶  $p$  and  $\rho$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{1}{2} (\|V\|^2 - 1), & \rho &= \frac{1}{2} (\|V\|^2 + 1), \\ \Rightarrow \quad \eta &\equiv 1, & G &\equiv 1. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We denote  $\sigma^2 := \|V\|^2$ .  $\sigma^2$  is the *enthalpy*.

# The hard phase model with free boundary

We are interested in the following free boundary problem for hard phase model:

- ▶ Let  $\Omega$  be a spacetime domain in  $(\mathbb{R}^{1+3}, m)$ .  $\Omega$  will be part of the unknown of our problem.
- ▶ The free boundary problem is

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mu} V^{\mu} &= 0, & dV &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \sigma^2 &= -V^{\mu} V_{\mu} \equiv 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ V &\text{ tangential to } \partial\Omega.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

- ▶ The initial data satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mu} \sigma^2 \nabla^{\mu} \sigma^2 &> 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0 \\ \sigma_0^2 &> 1 & \text{in } \Omega_0.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

# Main result I: Well-posedness

## Theorem

*Any sufficiently regular data satisfying (4) and certain compatibility conditions leads to a unique local-in-time solution to (3).*

- ▶ The conditions (4) on initial data is the *relativistic Taylor sign condition*.
- ▶ Since we are solving an initial-boundary value problem for a hyperbolic PDE system, the initial data should satisfy certain compatibility conditions
- ▶ Seeking the optimal regularity is not our concern in this work.

## Remarks on the model

- ▶ The hard phase model has independent physical interest: It is an idealized model for the physical situation when the mass-energy density exceeds the nuclear saturation density during the gravitational collapse of the degenerate core of a massive star. In this situation, the sound speed is thought to approach the speed of light (Christodoulou, Friedman-Pandharipande, Lichnerowicz, Rezzolla-Zanotti, Walecka, and Zel'dovich, etc.)
- ▶ The hard phase model captures main mathematical features of a class of free boundary problems. Our approach in this work can be applied to general barotropic fluids with non-zero vorticity.

## Historical results on related models

- ▶ Gaseous models: Makino, Rendall (Existence for a class of solutions), Hadzić-Shkoller-Speck, Jang-LeFloch-Masmoudi (A priori estimates), Trakhinin (Existence using Nash-Moser)
- ▶ Liquid models: Oliynyk (Existence for a similar liquid model using different methods), Ginsberg (A priori estimates for the same model with smallness assumption on initial data).

## Comparison with Newtonian problem

- ▶ The Newtonian free boundary problem for incompressible irrotational fluid is

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \tilde{V} &= 0, & \nabla \times \tilde{V} &= 0 & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ \tilde{V}_t + (\tilde{V} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{V} &= -\nabla \tilde{P} & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ \tilde{P} &\equiv 0 & \text{on } \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ (1, \tilde{V}) &\text{ tangential to } & \cup_{t>0} (t, \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

- ▶ Hopf Lemma implies the *Taylor sign condition*

$$-\frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial \tilde{n}} \geq c_t > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t\tag{6}$$

- ▶ Here  $\tilde{P}$  is the pressure.  $\tilde{V}$  is the fluid velocity.  $\tilde{\Omega}_t$  is the unknown domain occupied by fluid at time  $t$ .  $\tilde{n}$  is the outward unit normal to  $\partial \tilde{\Omega}_t$ .

## Ideas to solve the Newtonian problem: Wu (97,99)

- ▶ Reducing the problem to the boundary.
- ▶ Differentiating the momentum equation in (5) with respect to  $\tilde{D}_t := \partial_t + \tilde{V} \cdot \nabla$  to obtain the system:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}_t^2 + \tilde{a} \nabla_{\tilde{n}}) \tilde{V} &= -\nabla \tilde{D}_t \tilde{p} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ \Delta \tilde{V} &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \tilde{\Omega}_t. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

- ▶ Here  $\nabla_{\tilde{n}}$  is the standard Dirichlet-Neumann operator, and  $\tilde{a} := -\frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial \tilde{n}}$ .
- ▶ Using boundary integrals we express  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\nabla \tilde{D}_t \tilde{p}$  in terms of the boundary values of  $\tilde{V}$  and its derivatives.
- ▶ It turns out that the first equation in (7) is a quasilinear equation of  $\tilde{V}$ .

# Ideas to solve the Newtonian problem: Christodoulou-Lindblad (00)

- ▶ Instead of using boundary integrals, one considers the elliptic problems:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \tilde{P} &= -(\partial_i \tilde{V}^\ell) \partial_\ell \tilde{V}^i & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_t, & \tilde{P} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ \Delta D_t \tilde{P} &= G(\partial \tilde{V}, \partial^2 \tilde{P}) & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_t, & D_t \tilde{P} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \tilde{\Omega}_t.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

- ▶ Here  $G(\partial \tilde{V}, \partial^2 \tilde{P})$  consists of the product between  $\partial \tilde{V}$  and  $\partial^2 \tilde{P}$ , as well as a cubic expression of  $\partial \tilde{V}$ .
- ▶ The elliptic equations (8) recover the regularity of  $\tilde{P}$  and  $D_t \tilde{P}$ .



## Back to hard phase model

- ▶ Let  $D_V := V^\mu \partial_\mu$ , and  $n$  be the outward unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ .



$$\sigma^2 \equiv 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \sigma^2 = -an \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

$$a = \sqrt{\nabla_\mu \sigma^2 \nabla^\mu \sigma^2} > 0.$$

- ▶ Differentiating the equation  $D_V V^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu \sigma^2 = 0$  by  $D_V$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , the original system (3) becomes

$$\left( D_V^2 + \frac{1}{2} a \nabla_n \right) V^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu D_V \sigma^2 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \quad (9)$$

$$\square V^\mu = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

## Quasilinear system

- ▶ The operator  $\nabla_n$  in (9) is the *hyperbolic Dirichlet-Neumann map*. It is not clear at all whether this operator is positive or not.
- ▶  $\sigma^2$  and  $D_V\sigma^2$  satisfy the following wave equations with Dirichlet boundary data:

$$\square\sigma^2 = -2(\nabla^\mu V^\nu)(\nabla_\mu V_\nu), \quad \sigma^2 \equiv 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square D_V\sigma^2 &= 4(\nabla^\mu V^\nu)(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \sigma^2) + 4(\nabla^\lambda V^\nu)(\nabla_\lambda V^\mu)(\nabla_\nu V_\mu) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ D_V\sigma^2 &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

## Well-posedness: Main ingredients of the proof

- ▶ A priori estimates for the system (9), (10) and (11).
- ▶ Higher order regularity: Commuting  $D_V^k$ . Note that  $D_V$  is defined globally both in the interior of  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$ , and tangential to  $\partial\Omega$ . Using the equation we show that  $D_V^2 \sim \partial_x$ .
- ▶ Galerkin method to construct approximation sequences and prove the convergence of the sequences.

## A priori estimates-Positivity of the hyperbolic DN map

- ▶ Main idea: Multiplying both the boundary equation  $(D_V^2 + \frac{1}{2}a\nabla_n)V = \dots$  and the equation  $\square V = 0$  by  $D_V V$ , and integrate on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$ . We obtain the following positive energy

$$\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{t,x} V|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{1}{a} |D_V V|^2 dS. \quad (12)$$

Here  $\Omega_t$  and  $\partial\Omega_t$  are the  $x^0 = t$ -slices of  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  respectively.

- ▶ Let us illustrate the idea with a simpler model, where  $B$  is the unit ball:

$$\begin{aligned} \square u &= F \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times B \\ (\partial_t^2 + \partial_r) u &= f \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial B \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

## Positivity of the hyperbolic DN map

- ▶ Multiplying the system (13) by  $\partial_t u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_t(\partial_t u)^2 + (\partial_t u)(\partial_r u) &= (\partial_t u)f \quad \text{on } \partial B \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_t((\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2) - \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u \nabla u) &= -F \cdot \partial_t u \quad \text{in } B.\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

- ▶ Integrating the second equation in (14) on  $[0, T] \times B$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} \int_B |\partial_{t,x} u(T)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_B |\partial_{t,x} u(0)|^2 dx \\ - \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} (\partial_t u)(\partial_r u) dS dt = - \int_0^T \int_B F \cdot \partial_t u dx dt\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

## Positivity of the hyperbolic DN map

- ▶ Integrating the first equation in (14) on  $[0, T] \times \partial B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} |\partial_t u(T)|^2 dS - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} |\partial_t u(0)|^2 dS \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} (\partial_t u)(\partial_r u) dS dt = \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} (\partial_t u) f dS dt \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- ▶ Adding (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_B |\partial_t u(T)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} |\partial_t u(T)|^2 dS \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_B |\partial_t u(0)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} |\partial_t u(0)|^2 dS \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_B F \cdot \partial_t u dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} (\partial_t u) f dS dt. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

## Boundary equation-Source term

- ▶ To control the source term of the RHS of the equation  $(D_V^2 + \frac{1}{2}a\nabla_n) V = \dots$ , we need to control

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\nabla D_V \sigma^2|^2 dS dt.$$

- ▶ We consider the following wave equation with Dirichlet data:

$$\square D_V \sigma^2 = G \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad D_V \sigma^2 \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (18)$$

- ▶ We use the multiplier vectorfield  $V + \alpha n$  to derive an energy identity for (18). Here the constant  $\alpha > 0$  is sufficiently small such that  $V + \alpha n$  is *timelike* with respect to the Minkowski metric.

## IBVP for wave

- ▶ Again we illustrate the idea with the following simpler model for (18):

$$\square u = G \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T] \times B, \quad u \equiv 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \partial B. \quad (19)$$

- ▶ Denote the multiplier vectorfield  $\partial_t + \alpha \partial_r$  by

$$\partial_t + \alpha \partial_r := Q = Q^\mu \partial_\mu.$$

- ▶ A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \square u \cdot Qu &= \nabla_\mu \left( (Qu)(\nabla^\mu u) - \frac{1}{2} Q^\mu (\nabla_\nu u)(\nabla^\nu u) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu Q^\mu)(\nabla_\nu u)(\nabla^\nu u) - (\nabla^\mu Q^\nu)(\nabla_\mu u)(\nabla_\nu u) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The last two terms on the RHS above are of lower order.



## IBVP for wave -conti

- ▶  $Q$  being timelike implies that the flux on  $\{T\} \times B$  is positive and controls the standard energy  $\int_B |\partial_{t,x} D_V \sigma^2(T)|^2$ .
- ▶ On  $[0, T] \times \partial B$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} (\nabla_\nu u) (\nabla^\nu u) dS dt \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial B} |\partial_r u|^2 dS dt,$$

since

$$\partial_t u = \partial_\theta u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \partial B.$$

Here  $\theta$  is the angular variable on  $\partial B$ .

## $H^k(\Omega_t)$ -bounds

- ▶ To obtain the  $L^\infty$ -control in the a priori estimates, we need the control of  $\partial_x^k V$  in  $L^2(\Omega_t)$ .
- ▶ The energy controls  $D_V^k V \in H^1(\Omega_t)$  and  $D_V^{k+1} V \in L^2(\partial\Omega_t)$ .
- ▶ Using the boundary equation  $(D_V^2 + \frac{1}{2}a\nabla_n) V = \dots$  we have

$$\nabla_n V \simeq D_V^2 V + \text{l.o.t.}$$

The Trace Theorem implies

$$\|\nabla_n V\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega_t)} \lesssim \|D_V^2 V\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)} \lesssim \text{“Energy for } D_V^2 V \text{”} \quad (20)$$

## $H^k(\Omega_t)$ -bounds -conti

- ▶ On the other hand, we have

$$0 = \square V = \partial_{t,x} D_V V + AV,$$

where  $A$  is an elliptic operator on  $\Omega_t$ . This together with (20) gives control on  $\|V\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)}$  in terms of the energy (i.e., the  $H^1(\Omega_t)$ -norm) for  $D_V^2 V$ .

- ▶ This finally shows  $D_V^2 \sim \nabla_x$ .

## Newtonian limit-Rescaled quantities

- ▶ To study the Newtonian limit as the speed of light approaches infinity, we of course cannot set the speed of light  $c = 1$  anymore.
- ▶ Now the pressure  $p$  and energy density  $\rho$  are given by

$$p = \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}(\sigma^2 - c^4), \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2}c^{-4}(\sigma^2 + c^6).$$

- ▶ The sound speed  $\eta = c$  and on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  we have  $\sigma^2 \equiv c^4$ .
- ▶ The initial data satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0^2 &\geq c^4 && \text{in } \Omega_0 \\ \sigma_0^2 &= c^4 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_0 \\ \nabla_\mu \sigma_0^2 \nabla^\mu \sigma_0^2 &\geq c_0^2 c^4 > 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

## Rescaled quantities and time variable

- ▶ Instead of  $V, \sigma^2$ , we work with the rescaled quantities

$$\bar{V} := c^{-1}V, \quad \bar{\sigma}^2 := c^{-2}\sigma^2 - c^2 \quad (22)$$

- ▶ Here  $\bar{V}, \bar{\sigma}$  are to be shown of order  $O(1)$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ In addition to the standard time variable  $t$  in the proof of the well-posedness, we also work with the rescaled time variable  $t' := c^{-1}t$ . Therefore we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = c^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \quad m = -c^2(dt')^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3(dx^i)^2$$
$$\square = -\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{t'}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3\partial_i^2.$$

- ▶ Note that  $\bar{V}^0 \simeq c$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$

## Rescaled energy

- ▶ We strive for an a priori estimate which is independent of  $c$ . Therefore the energy must be of order  $O(1)$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ Systematically, let  $E[V](t)$  and  $E[D_V \sigma^2](t)$  be the energies we bound in the above a priori estimate. A direct observation shows that

$$E[\bar{V}](t) \simeq c, \quad E[D_{\bar{V}} \bar{\sigma}^2](t) \simeq c, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

The reason for this is that  $\bar{V}^0 \simeq c$ , which appears in the definition of  $E[\bar{V}]$  and  $E[D_{\bar{V}} \bar{\sigma}^2]$ .

- ▶ To get an order  $O(1)$  energy, we need to consider the rescaled energies

$$c^{-1}E[\bar{V}](t), \quad c^{-1}E[D_{\bar{V}} \bar{\sigma}^2](t).$$

## Sources in the energy estimates

- ▶ Systematically, the energy estimates have the following form

$$c^{-1}E[\bar{V}](T) + c^{-1}E[D_{\bar{V}}\bar{\sigma}^2](T) \\ \lesssim \text{“Initial data of order } O(1)\text{”} + c^{-1} \int_0^T \text{“Nonlinear sources” } dt$$

- ▶ The “Nonlinear sources” above is of order  $O(1)$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ This observation implies that in the time variable  $t$ , we can extend the solution given by the well-posedness theorem up to the scale  $t \simeq c$ , and in the time variable  $t'$  up to the scale  $t' \simeq 1$ .
- ▶ This is crucial because  $t'$  is the time variable for the Newtonian problem.

## The discrepancy for energy hierarchy given by the a priori estimates

- ▶ Suppose as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Theta$  is a quantity of order  $O(1)$ . Then  $\partial_t \Theta$  must be of order  $O(c^{-1})$  and  $\partial_i \Theta = O(1)$ . However, the a priori estimate gives the same estimate for  $\partial_t \Theta = O(1)$ . In the Newtonian limit, we need the improved estimate  $\partial_t \Theta = O(c^{-1})$ .
- ▶ To overcome this difficulty, we look at  $\bar{\sigma}^2$ :

$$\bar{\sigma}^2 = (\bar{V}^0 - c)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\bar{V}^i)^2 + 2c(\bar{V}^0 - c) \quad (23)$$

The a priori estimate shows that  $\bar{V}^0 - c, \bar{V}^i, \bar{\sigma}^2$  remains bounded as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , which in turn shows

$$\bar{V}^0 - c = O(c^{-1}) \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

- ▶ Differentiating (23) in  $\partial_t$ , we get

$$\partial_t \bar{V}^0 = O(c^{-1}) \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$



## Main result II-Newtonian limit

Finally we have the result on Newtonian limit, which can be roughly stated as following:

### Theorem

*The rescaled solution  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\sigma})$  to the free boundary problem (3)-(4) converges to the solution to the free boundary problem (5) as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Thank you!