

Quantum Correction of the Wilson Line and Entanglement Entropy in the AdS₃ Chern-Simons Gravity Theory

Chen-Te Ma (SCNU and UCT)

Xing Huang (Northwest University) and Hongfei Shu (Nordita)

October 23, 2019

Entanglement Entropy

- The **entanglement entropy** (EE) is

$$S_{EE} \equiv -\text{Tr}_A(\rho_A \ln \rho_A), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} \quad (2)$$

is the reduced density matrix of the region A , obtained by the **partial trace operation** Tr_B acting on the density matrix of the region AB , ρ_{AB} .

n -sheet Manifold

$$S_{EE} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n \Big|_{n=1}. \quad (3)$$

We only need to calculate $\text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n$, differentiate it with respect to n , and finally take the limit $n \rightarrow 1$. This is the procedure of the **replica trick**.

Path-Integral Formalism

We first take A to be the **single interval** at $t_E = 0$ in the flat Euclidean coordinates (t_E, x) .

Path-Integral Formalism

We first take A to be the **single interval** at $t_E = 0$ in the flat Euclidean coordinates (t_E, x) . The **ground state wave functional** is

$$\Psi(\phi_0(x)) = \int_{t_E=-\infty}^{\phi(t_E=0,x)=\phi_0(x)} D\phi e^{-S(\phi)}, \quad (4)$$

where $\phi(t_E, x)$ denotes the field. The value of the field at the boundary ϕ_0 depends on the spatial coordinate x .

Path-Integral Formalism

We first take A to be the **single interval** at $t_E = 0$ in the flat Euclidean coordinates (t_E, x) . The **ground state wave functional** is

$$\Psi(\phi_0(x)) = \int_{t_E=-\infty}^{\phi(t_E=0,x)=\phi_0(x)} D\phi e^{-S(\phi)}, \quad (4)$$

where $\phi(t_E, x)$ denotes the field. The value of the field at the boundary ϕ_0 depends on the spatial coordinate x . The density matrix ρ_{AB} is given by two copies of the wavefunctional

$$(\rho)_{\phi_0\phi'_0} = \Psi(\phi_0)\bar{\Psi}(\phi'_0). \quad (5)$$

The complex conjugate one $\bar{\Psi}$ can be obtained by path-integrating from $t_E = \infty$ to $t_E = 0$.

To obtain the reduced density matrix of the region A , we need to **integrate out** ϕ_0 on the **region B** with the condition $\phi_0(x) = \phi'_0(x)$.

To obtain the reduced density matrix of the region A , we need to **integrate out** ϕ_0 on the **region** B with the condition $\phi_0(x) = \phi'_0(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_A)_{\phi_+ \phi_-} \\ = & (Z_1)^{-1} \int_{t_E=-\infty}^{t_E=\infty} D\phi e^{-S(\phi)} \prod_{x \in A} \\ & \times \delta(\phi(0^+, x) - \phi_+(x)) \cdot \delta(\phi(0^-, x) - \phi_-(x)), \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where Z_1 is the partition function.

To compute the $\text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n$, we first prepare n copies of the reduced density matrix of the region A

$$(\rho_A)_{\phi_{1+}\phi_{1-}} (\rho_A)_{\phi_{2+}\phi_{2-}} \cdots (\rho_A)_{\phi_{n+}\phi_{n-}} \quad (7)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\phi_{j-}(x) = \phi_{(j+1)+}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (8)$$

where $\phi_{(n+1)+}(x) \equiv \phi_{1+}$, then integrating out ϕ_{j+} for each j , and then we take the trace.

To compute the $\text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n$, we first prepare n copies of the reduced density matrix of the region A

$$(\rho_A)_{\phi_{1+}\phi_{1-}} (\rho_A)_{\phi_{2+}\phi_{2-}} \cdots (\rho_A)_{\phi_{n+}\phi_{n-}} \quad (7)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\phi_{j-}(x) = \phi_{(j+1)+}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (8)$$

where $\phi_{(n+1)+}(x) \equiv \phi_{1+}$, then integrating out ϕ_{j+} for each j , and then we take the trace. The **path-integral representation** of the $\text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n$ is:

$$\text{Tr}_A \rho_A^n = (Z_1)^{-n} \int_{(t_E, x) \in \mathcal{R}_n} D\phi e^{-S(\phi)} \equiv \frac{Z_n}{Z_1^n}, \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{R}_n is the **n -sheet manifold**, and the Z_n is the **n -sheet partition function**.

Minimum Surface

- Although we have the n -sheet method to avoid the **conical singularity**, the computation in quantum field theory is still **hard**.

Minimum Surface

- Although we have the n -sheet method to avoid the **conical singularity**, the computation in quantum field theory is still **hard**.
- The holographic method used the **minimum surface** in the AdS _{d} to obtain the **EE** in the CFT _{$d-1$} .

Minimum Surface

- Although we have the n -sheet method to avoid the **conical singularity**, the computation in quantum field theory is still **hard**.
- The holographic method used the **minimum surface** in the AdS _{d} to obtain the **EE** in the CFT _{$d-1$} .
- The computation of the minimum surface is **easier** than the computation of the n -sheet method. Hence the holographic method gives a simple way to observe the **exact solution** in the EE.

AdS₃

The spacetime interval (ds_3^2) of the **AdS₃ metric** ($g_{\mu\nu}$) is given by:

$$ds_3^2 \equiv g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{dt^2 + dx^2 + dz^2}{z^2}, \quad (10)$$

where $\Lambda < 0$ is the cosmological constant and the spacetime indices are labeled by μ and ν . The **AdS₃ induced metric** ($h_{\mu\nu}$) is given by:

$$ds_{3b}^2 = h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{1}{z^2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx} \right)^2 \right] dx^2 \quad (11)$$

by **fixing time t as a constant**. Hence the **area** of the surface is given by

$$A_{\text{AdS}_3} = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\Lambda}} \int dx \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx} \right)^2}. \quad (12)$$

The **minimum area** satisfies the relation:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta A_{\text{AdS}_3}}{\delta z'} = \frac{\delta A_{\text{AdS}_3}}{\delta z},$$
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{\frac{dz}{dx}}{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^2}} \right] = -\frac{1}{z^2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $z' \equiv dz/dx$. One solution is:

$$z(x) = \sqrt{L^2 - x^2}, \quad \frac{dz}{dx} = -\frac{x}{z}. \quad (14)$$

The **minimum area** satisfies the relation:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta A_{\text{AdS}_3}}{\delta z'} = \frac{\delta A_{\text{AdS}_3}}{\delta z},$$
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{\frac{dz}{dx}}{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^2}} \right] = -\frac{1}{z^2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $z' \equiv dz/dx$. One solution is:

$$z(x) = \sqrt{L^2 - x^2}, \quad \frac{dz}{dx} = -\frac{x}{z}. \quad (14)$$

Hence the **minimum area** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{AdS}_3} &= \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\Lambda}} \int_{-L+\delta}^{L-\delta} dx \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\Lambda}} \ln \frac{2L - \delta}{\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

in which we set $L \gg \delta > 0$.

- The δ is the cut-off in the **x -direction**.

- The δ is the cut-off in the **x-direction**.
- By using $z(x) = \sqrt{L^2 - x^2}$, we obtain the **cut-off in the z-direction**

$$\epsilon \equiv \sqrt{L^2 - (L - \delta)^2} = \sqrt{2\delta L - \delta^2}. \quad (16)$$

- We choose the solution

$$\delta = L - \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}. \quad (17)$$

- The δ is the cut-off in the **x-direction**.
- By using $z(x) = \sqrt{L^2 - x^2}$, we obtain the **cut-off in the z-direction**

$$\epsilon \equiv \sqrt{L^2 - (L - \delta)^2} = \sqrt{2\delta L - \delta^2}. \quad (16)$$

- We choose the solution

$$\delta = L - \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}. \quad (17)$$

- Hence the **minimum area** is given by:

$$A_{\text{AdS}_3} = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\Lambda}} \ln \frac{2L - \delta}{\delta} = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\Lambda}} \ln \frac{L + \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}}{L - \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}}. \quad (18)$$

The **holographic EE** for the AdS₃ metric is obtained from that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{A_{\text{AdS}_3}}{4G_3} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-\Lambda}G_3} \ln \frac{L + \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}}{L - \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}} = \frac{c_{\text{cft}_2}}{6} \ln \frac{L + \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}}{L - \sqrt{L^2 - \epsilon^2}} \\ &= \frac{c_{\text{cft}_2}}{6} \ln \frac{4L^2}{\epsilon^2} + \dots = \frac{c_{\text{cft}_2}}{3} \ln \frac{2L}{\epsilon} + \dots \\ &= \frac{c_{\text{cft}_2}}{3} \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon} + \dots,\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where G_3 is the three-dimensional gravitational constant, and the **center charge** of CFT₂ is defined by

$$c_{\text{cft}_2} \equiv \frac{3}{2\sqrt{-\Lambda}G_3}\tag{20}$$

Reference of the Holographic Entanglement Entropy

- C. Holzhey, F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, “Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **424**, 443 (1994) [hep-th/9403108].
- S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006) [hep-th/0603001].
- A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, “Generalized gravitational entropy,” JHEP **1308**, 090 (2013) [arXiv:1304.4926 [hep-th]].

Action

The action of the **SL(2) Chern-Simons gravity theory** is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &= \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{tr\theta} \text{Tr} \left(A_t F_{r\theta} - \frac{1}{2} (A_r \partial_t A_\theta - A_\theta \partial_t A_r) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{tr\theta} \text{Tr} \left(\bar{A}_t \bar{F}_{r\theta} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_r \partial_t \bar{A}_\theta - \bar{A}_\theta \partial_t \bar{A}_r) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \text{Tr} (A_\theta^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \text{Tr} (\bar{A}_\theta^2), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

in which we assume that the **boundary conditions** of the gauge fields A and \bar{A} are: $A_- \equiv A_t - A_\theta = 0$ and $\bar{A}_+ \equiv A_t + A_\theta = 0$.

The variable k is defined by $l/(4G_3)$, where $1/l^2 \equiv -\Lambda$.

The gauge fields are defined by the vielbein e_μ and spin connection ω_μ :

$$A_\mu \equiv A_\mu^a J_a \equiv J_a \left(\frac{1}{l} e_\mu^a + \omega_\mu^a \right), \quad \bar{A}_\nu \equiv \bar{A}_\nu^a \bar{J}_a \equiv \bar{J}_a \left(\frac{1}{l} e_\nu^a - \omega_\nu^a \right), \quad (22)$$

in which the Lie algebra indices are labeled by a , and the indices are raised or lowered by $\eta \equiv \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$. This bulk terms in this theory are equivalent to the Chern-Simons theory up to a boundary term. The measure in this gravitation theory is $\int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\bar{A}$.

Boundary Theory

When we take the solution ($F_{r\theta} = 0$) into the action, and use the **asymptotic boundary condition**: $g_{\text{SL}(2)}^{-1} \partial_\theta g_{\text{SL}(2)}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} = A_\theta|_{r \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\bar{g}_{\text{SL}(2)}^{-1} \partial_\theta \bar{g}_{\text{SL}(2)}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} = \bar{A}_\theta|_{r \rightarrow \infty}$. We use the SL(2) transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{SL}(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ F & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{g}_{\text{SL}(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{F} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\bar{\Psi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

to obtain the boundary conditions: $\lambda^2 \partial_\theta F = 2r$,
 $\partial_\theta^2 F / \partial_\theta F = -4r\Psi$, $\bar{\lambda}^2 \partial_\theta \bar{F} = 2r$, and $\partial_\theta^2 \bar{F} / \partial_\theta \bar{F} = -4r\bar{\Psi}$.

Finally, we obtain the boundary theory, **two-dimensional Schwarzian theory**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_G \\
 = & \frac{k}{2\pi} \int dt d\theta \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{(\partial_- \partial_\theta F)(\partial_\theta^2 F)}{(\partial_\theta F)^2} - \frac{\partial_- \partial_\theta^2 F}{\partial_\theta F} \right) \\
 & - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int dt d\theta \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{(\partial_+ \partial_\theta \bar{F})(\partial_\theta^2 \bar{F})}{(\partial_\theta \bar{F})^2} - \frac{\partial_+ \partial_\theta^2 \bar{F}}{\partial_\theta \bar{F}} \right), \quad (24)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x^+ \equiv t + \theta, \quad x^- \equiv t - \theta, \quad (25)$$

$$\partial_+ = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta, \quad \partial_- = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta. \quad (26)$$

The measure is $\int dF d\bar{F} (1/(\partial_\theta F \partial_\theta \bar{F}))$.

Reference of the AdS₃ Chern-Simons Gravity Theory

- E. Witten, “(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System,” Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 46 (1988).
- E. Witten, “Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited,” arXiv:0706.3359 [hep-th].
- O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and P. van Driel, “The Asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant,” Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 2961 (1995) [gr-qc/9506019].
- J. Cotler and K. Jensen, “A theory of reparameterizations for AdS₃ gravity,” JHEP **1902**, 079 (2019) [arXiv:1808.03263 [hep-th]].

Boundary Effective Action on the Sphere Manifold

The bulk Euclidean AdS₃ metric can be **asymptotically** written as

$$ds_{3a}^2 = r^2 ds_s^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$ds_s^2 = d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi d\theta^2, \quad 0 \leq \psi < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi. \quad (28)$$

The ds_s^2 is the spacetime interval for the sphere with a unit radius.

The asymptotic behavior of the gauge fields are:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dr}{2r} & 0 \\ rE^+ & -\frac{dr}{2r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{dr}{2r} & -rE^- \\ 0 & \frac{dr}{2r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$E^+ \equiv E^\theta + E^t, \quad E^- \equiv E^\theta - E^t. \quad (30)$$

The E^\pm is the boundary zweibein. Then we can find the below boundary condition by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \sqrt{\frac{2rE_\theta^+}{\partial_\theta F}}, & \Psi &= -\frac{1}{4rE_\theta^+} \frac{\partial_\theta^2 F}{\partial_\theta F}, \\ \bar{\lambda} &= \sqrt{\frac{2rE_\theta^-}{\partial_\theta \bar{F}}}, & \bar{\Psi} &= -\frac{1}{4rE_\theta^-} \frac{\partial_\theta^2 \bar{F}}{\partial_\theta \bar{F}}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

For the **sphere** manifold, we have

$$E^\psi = d\psi, \quad E^\theta = \sin \psi d\theta. \quad (32)$$

Because we did the **Wick rotation** ($t = -i\psi$), we use the following coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} x^+ &= -i\psi + \theta, & x^- &= -i\psi - \theta, \\ \psi &= \frac{i}{2}(x^+ + x^-), & \theta &= \frac{x^+ - x^-}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The θ -component of the **boundary zweibein** is defined by the E_θ^\pm . Therefore, we have $E_\theta^+ = E_\theta^- = \sin \psi$. The boundary gauge-field in the **Lorentzian manifold** satisfies the conditions:

$$E_\theta^+ A^t - E_t^+ A^\theta = 0, \quad E_\theta^- \bar{A}^t - E_t^- \bar{A}^\theta = 0. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, the AdS₃ gravitation action with the **sphere asymptotic boundary condition** is

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\text{GS}} \\ = & \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{tr\theta} \text{Tr} \left(A_t F_{r\theta} - \frac{1}{2} (A_r \partial_t A_\theta - A_\theta \partial_t A_r) \right) \\ & - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{tr\theta} \text{Tr} \left(\bar{A}_t \bar{F}_{r\theta} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_r \partial_t \bar{A}_\theta - \bar{A}_\theta \partial_t \bar{A}_r) \right) \\ & + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \text{Tr} \left(\frac{E_t^+}{E_\theta^+} A_\theta^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \text{Tr} \left(\frac{E_t^-}{E_\theta^-} \bar{A}_\theta^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Then we use the conditions $\lambda^2 \partial_\theta F = 2E_\theta^+ r$ and $\bar{\lambda}^2 \partial_\theta \bar{F} = 2E_\theta^- r$ to obtain the boundary effective action on the **sphere manifold**

$$S_{\text{GS}} = \frac{k}{\pi} \int dt d\theta \left(\frac{(\partial_\theta \lambda)(D_- \lambda)}{\lambda^2} - \frac{(\partial_\theta \bar{\lambda})(D_+ \bar{\lambda})}{\bar{\lambda}^2} \right), \quad (36)$$

where

$$D_+ \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_t^-}{E_\theta^-} \partial_\theta, \quad D_- \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_t^+}{E_\theta^+} \partial_\theta. \quad (37)$$

From the field redefinition:

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{F}{E_{\theta}^{+}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \frac{\bar{F}}{E_{\theta}^{-}}. \quad (38)$$

the gravitation action on the sphere manifold becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\text{GS}} \\ &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \left(\frac{(\partial_{\theta}^2 \mathcal{F})(D_{-} \partial_{\theta} \mathcal{F})}{(\partial_{\theta} \mathcal{F})^2} - \frac{(\partial_{\theta}^2 \bar{\mathcal{F}})(D_{+} \partial_{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{F}})}{(\partial_{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{F}})^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \left[\frac{(\partial_{\theta}^2 \phi)(D_{-} \partial_{\theta} \phi)}{(\partial_{\theta} \phi)^2} - (\partial_{\theta} \phi)(D_{-} \phi) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int dt d\theta \left[\frac{(\partial_{\theta}^2 \bar{\phi})(D_{+} \partial_{\theta} \bar{\phi})}{(\partial_{\theta} \bar{\phi})^2} - (\partial_{\theta} \bar{\phi})(D_{+} \bar{\phi}) \right], \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

in which we used

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \tan \left(\frac{\phi}{2} \right), \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \tan \left(\frac{\bar{\phi}}{2} \right). \quad (40)$$

When we take the **scale transformation** on the the boundary zweibein, this theory is **invariant**. Therefore, we can use the **conformal transformation** to compute the EE as in the **CFT**.

EE for One-Interval

We first perform the **coordinate transformation** to get $ds_s^2 = \text{sech}^2(y)(dy^2 + d\theta^2)$, in which we used $\text{sech } y = \sin \psi$. In the **n -sheet manifold**, the range of the θ is $0 < \theta \leq 2\pi n$. The **periodicity** of this theory with respect to the θ is $2\pi n$. When we do the computation, we need to regularize the range of the **y -direction**. The range of the **y -direction** is $-\ln(L/\epsilon) < y \leq \ln(L/\epsilon)$. The **periodicity** of this theory with respect to the y is $4 \ln(L/\epsilon)$ because we assume the **Dirichlet boundary condition** in the **y -direction**. The L is the length of an interval, and ϵ is the cut-off on the ending point of the interval.

Finally, we identify the sphere from the torus to determine the complex structure τ on the sphere. The coordinates of torus $z \equiv (\theta + iy)/n$ satisfy the identification: $z \sim z + 2\pi$ and $z \sim z + 2\pi\tau$. The boundary condition of the fields, ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(y/n, \theta/n + 2\pi) &= \phi(y/n, \theta/n) + 2\pi, \\ \phi(y/n + 2\pi \cdot \text{Im}(\tau), \theta/n + 2\pi \cdot \text{Re}(\tau)) &= \phi(y/n, \theta/n), \\ \bar{\phi}(y/n, \theta/n + 2\pi) &= \bar{\phi}(y/n, \theta/n) + 2\pi, \\ \bar{\phi}(y/n + 2\pi \cdot \text{Im}(\tau), \theta/n + 2\pi \cdot \text{Re}(\tau)) &= \bar{\phi}(y/n, \theta/n). \quad (41)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can quickly find that the complex structure on the sphere is

$$\tau = \frac{2i}{n\pi} \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon}. \quad (42)$$

When we take this complex structure, we can obtain the periodicity $4 \ln(L/\epsilon)$. The fields on the sphere can be expanded from the way:

$$\phi = \frac{\theta}{n} + \epsilon(y, \theta), \quad \bar{\phi} = \frac{\theta}{n} + \bar{\epsilon}(y, \theta), \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(y, \theta) &\equiv \sum_{j,k} \epsilon_{j,k} e^{i\frac{j}{n}\theta - \frac{k}{\tau}y}, & \epsilon_{j,k}^* &\equiv \epsilon_{-j,-k}, \\ \bar{\epsilon}(y, \theta) &\equiv \sum_{j,k} \bar{\epsilon}_{j,k} e^{i\frac{j}{n}\theta - \frac{k}{\tau}y}, & \bar{\epsilon}_{j,k}^* &\equiv \bar{\epsilon}_{-j,-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Because this theory has the **SL(2) redundancy**, the variables has the constraints:

$$\epsilon_{j,k} = 0, \quad \bar{\epsilon}_{j,k} = 0 \quad \text{when } j = -1, 0, 1. \quad (45)$$

To compute the partition function on the sphere, we need to do the Wick rotation $t = -i\psi$. Now we consider the expansion from the $\epsilon(y, \theta)$ and $\bar{\epsilon}(y, \theta)$ to obtain the one-loop effect. Therefore, we obtain the Rényi entropy

$$S_n = \frac{(c + 26)(n + 1)}{6n} \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon} \quad (46)$$

and the entanglement entropy is

$$S_{EE} = \frac{c + 26}{3} \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon}. \quad (47)$$

Wilson Line

The EE in the two-dimensional Schwarzian theory gives the **non-conformal deformation** from the **quantum correction**. Here we want to obtain a **bulk description** of the EE. Since the Wilson lines

$$W(P, Q) \equiv \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_Q^P \bar{A} \right) \mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_Q^P A \right) \right], \quad (48)$$

can provide the EE in the CFT₂, we begin from this operator to study. The \mathcal{P} denotes the path ordering, P and Q are the two-ending points of the Wilson lines at a time slice. Here the trace operation acts on the representation, which has the Casimir (c_2) $\sqrt{2c_2} = c(1/n - 1)/6$.

We extend the Wilson line to the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\mathcal{R}}(C) \\ &= \int DUDPD\lambda \\ & \times \exp \left[\int_C ds \left(\text{Tr}(PU^{-1}D_s U) + \lambda(s)(\text{Tr}(P^2) - c_2) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where U is an $SL(2)$ element, P is its conjugate momentum, and the covariant derivative is defined as that:

$$D_s U \equiv \frac{d}{ds} U + A_s U + U \bar{A}_s, \quad A_s \equiv A_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}. \tag{50}$$

The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}i\frac{k}{2\pi}F_{\mu_1\mu_2} &= -\int ds \frac{dx^{\mu_3}}{ds}\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}\delta^3(x-x(s))UPU^{-1}, \\i\frac{k}{2\pi}\bar{F}_{\mu_1\mu_2} &= \int ds \frac{dx^{\mu_3}}{ds}\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}\delta^3(x-x(s))P.\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

The solution can be expressed as that:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= L^{-1}aL + LdL^{-1}, & L &= \exp(-\rho L_0) \exp(-L_1 z), \\ \bar{A} &= -R^{-1}aR - R^{-1}dR, & R &= \exp(-L_{-1} \bar{z}) \exp(-\rho L_0), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the gauge fields are given as that:

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{2}} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{dz}{z} - \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \right) L_0, \tag{53}$$

The **SL(2) algebra** is defined by that:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{m+n}, \quad m, n = 0, \pm 1, \quad (54)$$

$$\text{Tr}(L_0^2) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{Tr}(L_{-1}L_1) = -1, \quad (55)$$

and the traces of other bilinears vanish. Here we choose $z = r \exp(i\theta)$. Then the spacetime interval is

$$ds_3^2 = d\rho^2 + \exp(2\rho)(dr^2 + n^2 r^2 d\theta^2). \quad (56)$$

With the $r = \exp(t)$ and a scale transformation, the n -sheet cylinder appears at the boundary ($\rho \rightarrow \infty$). This solution corresponds to

$$U = 1, \quad P = \sqrt{2c_2}L_0 \quad (57)$$

with the curve

$$\rho(s) = s, \quad z(s) = 0. \quad (58)$$

Reference of the Solution

- M. Ammon, A. Castro and N. Iqbal, “Wilson Lines and Entanglement Entropy in Higher Spin Gravity,” JHEP **1310**, 110 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2013)110 [arXiv:1306.4338 [hep-th]].

- Hence we find that including the Wilson line gives the *n-sheet cylinder* at the *boundary*.

- Hence we find that including the Wilson line gives the *n-sheet cylinder* at the *boundary*.
- Since we should choose the *smooth fluctuation*, we still obtain the *two-dimensional Schwarzian theory* by integrating out the time-component gauge fields. The *n-sheet* geometry can be used in the *smooth region* $\rho \neq 0$. Hence computing the *Wilson line* $W_{\mathcal{R}}$ in the Chern-Simons gravity theory is equivalent to computing the Z_n/Z_1^n in the two-dimensional Schwarzian theory.

- Hence we find that including the Wilson line gives the *n*-sheet cylinder at the boundary.
- Since we should choose the smooth fluctuation, we still obtain the two-dimensional Schwarzian theory by integrating out the time-component gauge fields. The *n*-sheet geometry can be used in the smooth region $\rho \neq 0$. Hence computing the Wilson line $W_{\mathcal{R}}$ in the Chern-Simons gravity theory is equivalent to computing the Z_n/Z_1^n in the two-dimensional Schwarzian theory.
- In other words, the entanglement entropy is

$$S_{EE} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \ln \langle W_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle, \quad (59)$$

where $\langle W_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle$ is the expectation value of the Wilson line.

- When we take the classical solution into the Wilson line, the EE gives the CFT_2 result. This implies that the **Wilson line** can be seen as the **geodesic line** at the on-shell level. The equivalence between the **Wilson line** and the **EE** is exact, not only restricted to the one-loop order. Hence the Wilson line can be seen as the suitable operator for the **quantum deformation of the minimum surface**.

- We compute the EE at the **one-loop** order in the boundary theory. This gives the **non-CFT** effect from the one-loop correction.

- We compute the EE at the **one-loop** order in the boundary theory. This gives the **non-CFT** effect from the one-loop correction.
- We show that the **Wilson line** is the suitable operator for doing the **quantum deformation of the minimum surface**. This result shows the **AdS/non-CFT** correspondence in the EE and also the interesting proposal, “**Minimum Surface=EE**”.