

RC-positivity and scalar-flat metrics on ruled surfaces

Jun Wang and Xiaokui Yang

Abstract. Let X be a ruled surface over a curve of genus g . We prove that X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric *if and only if* $g \geq 2$ and $m(X) > 2 - 2g$ where $m(X)$ is an intrinsic number depends on the complex structure of X .

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Background materials	5
3. Characterizations of complex manifolds with scalar-flat metrics	7
4. Projective bundles with scalar-flat metrics	9
5. Classification of ruled surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics	11
6. Classification of minimal surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics	16
7. Examples	17
References	19

1. Introduction

In his “Problem section”, S.-T. Yau proposed the following classical problem ([Yau82, Problem 41]), which is investigated intensively in the last forty years.

Problem 1.1. Classify all compact Kähler surfaces with zero scalar curvature.

By the celebrated Calabi-Yau Theorem ([Yau78]), all Kähler surfaces with vanishing first Chern class (e.g. $K3$ surfaces) admit Kähler metrics with zero scalar curvature. Such metrics are usually called *scalar-flat* Kähler metrics and it is a special class of constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metrics or extremal metrics. Obstructions to the existence of such metrics have been known since the pioneering works of S.-T. Yau [Yau74] and E. Calabi [Cal85]. For comprehensive discussions on this rich topic, we refer to [Yau74, Yau78, Fut83, BD88, Tian90, Sim91, Fuj92, LS93, LS94, Tian97, Don01, RS05, AP06, AT06, RT06, Ross06, CT08, Sto08, AP09, ACGT11, Sze14, Sze17] and the references therein.

This work was partially supported by China’s Recruitment Program of Global Experts.

In this paper, we study the geometry of compact complex manifolds with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics (with respect to the Chern connection), which is a generalization of Problem 1.1. We begin with a characterization of compact complex manifolds with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics, which can be regarded as a Hermitian analogue of Kazdan-Warner-Bourguignon's classical work in Riemannian geometry, and we refer to [Bes86] and [Fut93] for more details.

Theorem 1.2. *A compact complex manifold X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if X is Chern Ricci-flat, or both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.*

Recall that, a line bundle \mathcal{L} is called *RC-positive* if it has a smooth Hermitian metric h such that its curvature $-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h$ has at least one positive eigenvalue everywhere. By using a remarkable theorem in [TW10] established by Tosatti-Weinkove (which is a Hermitian analogue of Yau's theorem [Yau78]), the anti-canonical bundle K_X^{-1} is RC-positive if and only if X has a smooth Hermitian metric ω such that its Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ has at least one positive eigenvalue everywhere. A complex manifold X is called *Chern Ricci-flat* if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric ω such that the Chern-Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\omega^n = 0$. On the other hand, we proved in [Yang17, Theorem 1.4] that a line bundle \mathcal{L} is RC-positive if and only if its dual line bundle \mathcal{L}^* is not pseudo-effective. By taking this advantage, we can verify the RC-positivity of K_X or K_X^{-1} by adapting methods in differential geometry as well as algebraic geometry.

As a straightforward application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. If X has a scalar-flat Kähler metric ω , then either X is a Calabi-Yau manifold, or both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.*

For instance, if X is the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 along m -points ($m \leq 9$), it is well-known that the anti-canonical bundle K_X^{-1} is effective (e.g. [Fri98, p. 125-p. 129]) and so it is pseudo-effective. In this case, K_X can not be RC-positive and X has no scalar-flat Hermitian (or Kähler) metrics.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \# m\overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$ be the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 along m points. If X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric, then $m \geq 10$.*

Indeed, it is proved by Rollin-Singer in [RS05, Theorem 1] (see also [Leb86, Leb91, LS93]) that: a complex surface X obtained by blowing-up \mathbb{P}^2 at 10 suitably chosen points admits a scalar-flat Kähler metric and any further blowing-up of X also admits a scalar-flat Kähler metric.

A compact complex surface X is called a *ruled surface* if it is a holomorphic \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a compact Riemann surface C . It is well known that any ruled surface X can be written as a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a rank two vector bundle over C . Moreover, two ruled surfaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} over C . The existence of cscK metrics on ruled surfaces are extensively studied, and we refer to [Yau74, BD88, Tian90, Sim91, Fuj92, LS93, LS94, RS05, AP06, AT06, RT06, Ross06, Sto08, ACGT11, Sze14] and the references therein. A remarkable result (e.g. [AT06, BD88, ACGT11]) asserts that: *A ruled surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a cscK metric if and only if \mathcal{E} is poly-stable.*

In the following, we aim to classify ruled surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank two vector bundle over a smooth curve C . One can define a number $m(\mathcal{E})$ (e.g. [Fri98, p. 122]) which is equal to the *minimal degree* of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ if there exists a **sheaf extension** of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle \mathcal{L} . It is obvious that $m(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ for any line bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Hence, we can define an intrinsic number $m(X)$ for a ruled surface X : $m(X) = m(\mathcal{E})$ if X can be written as $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. It is obvious that $m(X)$ is independent of the choices of \mathcal{E} . Let's explain the geometric meaning of $m(X)$ by the example $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow C$ where \mathcal{L} is a line bundle. In this case, $m(X) = -|\deg(\mathcal{L})| \leq 0$. As another application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a ruled surface over a smooth curve C of genus g . Then X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if $g \geq 2$ and $m(X) > 2 - 2g$.*

In particular, we have

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow C$ be a line bundle over a smooth curve of genus g and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C)$. Then X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if $g \geq 2$ and $|\deg(\mathcal{L})| < 2g - 2$.*

For instance, if C is a smooth curve of degree $d > 4$ in \mathbb{P}^2 , then the genus of C is $g = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)$ and the degree of $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ is $d < 2g - 2$. Hence, $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C)$ has scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Note also that, in Corollary 1.6, if $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, the vector bundle $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$ is poly-stable and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C)$ admits scalar-flat Kähler metrics; however, when $0 < |\deg(\mathcal{L})| < 2g - 2$, it has no scalar-flat Kähler metrics. Moreover, we construct such examples in higher dimensional ruled manifolds.

Proposition 1.7. *Let C be a smooth curve with genus $g \geq 2$ and \mathcal{L} be a line bundle over C . Suppose $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus(n-1)}$ and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow C$ is the projective bundle. If $0 < \deg(\mathcal{L}) < \frac{2g-2}{n-1}$, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$ can not support scalar-flat Kähler metrics, but it does admit scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.*

As motivated by previous results, we propose the following question.

Question 1.8. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Suppose X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric. Are there any geometric conditions on X which can guarantee the existence of scalar-flat Kähler metrics?

Finally, we classify minimal compact complex surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

Theorem 1.9. *Let X be a minimal compact complex surface. If X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric, then X must be one of the following*

- (1) an Enriques surface;
- (2) a bi-elliptic surface;
- (3) a K3 surface;
- (4) a 2-torus;
- (5) a Kodaira surface;
- (6) a ruled surface X over a curve C of genus $g \geq 2$ and $m(X) > 2 - 2g$;
- (7) a class VII₀ surface with $b_2 > 0$.

Remark 1.10. It is proved that surfaces in (1) to (6) all have scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. On the other hand, since class VII₀ surfaces with $b_2 > 0$ are not completely classified, we do not prove each class VII₀ surface with $b_2 > 0$ can support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Non-minimal surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics will also be studied in the sequel.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we give a characterization of compact complex manifolds with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics and prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we classify ruled surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics and establish Theorem 1.5. In Section 6, we classify minimal complex surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics and obtain Theorem 1.9. In Section 7, we give some precise examples with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics (Proposition 1.7).

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank his advisor Professor Jian Zhou for his guidance. The second author is very grateful to Professor K.-F. Liu and Professor S.-T. Yau for their support, encouragement and stimulating discussions over years. We would also like to thank Professors S. Sun,

A. Futaki, G. Szekelyhidi, V. Tosatti, W.-P. Zhang and X.-Y. Zhou for some helpful discussions.

2. Background materials

2.1. Scalar curvature and total scalar curvature on complex manifolds.

Let (\mathcal{E}, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a complex manifold X with Chern connection ∇ . Let $\{z^i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the local holomorphic coordinates on X and $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^r$ be a local frame of \mathcal{E} . The curvature tensor $R^\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^{1,1}T_X^* \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ has components

$$(2.1) \quad R_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\mathcal{E} = -\frac{\partial^2 h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j} + h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\bar{\delta}}}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}}{\partial \bar{z}^j}.$$

(Here and henceforth we sometimes adopt the Einstein convention for summation.) If (X, ω_g) is a Hermitian manifold, then (T_X, g) has Chern curvature components

$$(2.2) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -\frac{\partial^2 g_{k\bar{l}}}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j} + g^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial g_{p\bar{l}}}{\partial \bar{z}^j}.$$

The Chern-Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\omega_g)$ of (X, ω_g) is represented by $R_{i\bar{j}} = g^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$. The (Chern) scalar curvature s of (X, ω_g) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad s = \text{tr}_{\omega_g} \text{Ric}(\omega_g) = g^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}.$$

The total (Chern) scalar curvature of ω_g is

$$(2.4) \quad \int_X s \omega_g^n = n \int_X \text{Ric}(\omega_g) \wedge \omega_g^{n-1},$$

where n is the complex dimension of X .

- (1) A Hermitian metric ω_g is called a Gauduchon metric if $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_g^{n-1} = 0$. It is proved by Gauduchon ([Gau77]) that, in the conformal class of each Hermitian metric, there exists a unique Gauduchon metric (up to constant scaling).
- (2) A projective manifold X is called uniruled if it is covered by rational curves.

2.2. Positivity of line bundles. Let (X, ω_g) be a compact Hermitian manifold, and $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle.

- (1) \mathcal{L} is said to be *positive* (resp. *semi-positive*) if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{L} such that the curvature form $R^\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log h$ is a positive (resp. semi-positive) $(1, 1)$ -form.

- (2) \mathcal{L} is said to be *nef*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a smooth Hermitian metric h_ε on \mathcal{L} such that $-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h_\varepsilon \geq -\varepsilon\omega_g$.
- (3) \mathcal{L} is said to be *pseudo-effective*, if there exists a (possibly) singular Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{L} such that $-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions. (See [Dem] for more details.)
- (4) \mathcal{L} is said to be *\mathbb{Q} -effective*, if there exists some positive integer m such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \neq 0$.
- (5) \mathcal{L} is called *unitary flat* if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{L} such that the curvature of (\mathcal{L}, h) is zero, i.e. $-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h = 0$.
- (6) The Kodaira dimension $\kappa(\mathcal{L})$ of \mathcal{L} is defined to be

$$\kappa(\mathcal{L}) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})}{\log m}$$

and the *Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(X)$ of X is defined as $\kappa(X) := \kappa(K_X)$ where the logarithm of zero is defined to be $-\infty$.

2.3. Positivity of vector bundles. The points of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$ of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ can be identified with the hyperplanes of \mathcal{E} . Note that every hyperplane \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{E}_z corresponds bijectively to the line of linear forms in \mathcal{E}_z^* which vanish on \mathcal{V} . Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. There is a tautological hyperplane subbundle \mathcal{S} of $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{[\xi]} = \xi^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{E}_z$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_z^* \setminus \{0\}$. The quotient line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}$ is denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ and is called the *tautological line bundle* associated to $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$. Hence there is an exact sequence of vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1) \rightarrow 0$. A holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is called *ample* (resp. *nef*) if the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ is ample (resp. nef) over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. (**Caution:** In general, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$ are not isomorphic! $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.) A Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle (\mathcal{E}, h) over a complex manifold X is called *Griffiths positive* if at each point $q \in X$ and for any nonzero vector $v \in \mathcal{E}_q$, and any nonzero vector $u \in T_q X$, $R^{\mathcal{E}}(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) > 0$.

2.4. RC-positive line bundles. Let's recall that

Definition 2.1. A line bundle \mathcal{L} is called *RC-positive* if it has a smooth Hermitian metric h such that its curvature $R^{(\mathcal{L}, h)} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h$ has at least one positive eigenvalue everywhere.

In [Yang17, Theorem 1.4], we obtained an equivalent characterization for RC-positive line bundles.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex manifold X . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{L} is RC-positive;
- (2) the dual line bundle \mathcal{L}^* is not pseudo-effective.

Hence, we obtain

Corollary 2.3. *A line bundle \mathcal{L} is unitary flat if and only if neither \mathcal{L} nor \mathcal{L}^* is RC-positive.*

Proof. It is easy to see that \mathcal{L} is unitary flat if and only if both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^* are pseudo-effective (e.g. [Yang17a, Theorem 3.4]). Hence, Corollary 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

By using Theorem 2.2, the classical result of [BDPP13, Theorem] and Yau's theorem [Yau78], we obtain in [Yang17, Corollary 1.9] that

Theorem 2.4. *A projective manifold X is uniruled if and only if K_X^{-1} is RC-positive, i.e. X has a smooth Hermitian metric ω such that the Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ has at least one positive eigenvalue everywhere.*

3. Characterizations of complex manifolds with scalar-flat metrics

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. Let ω be a smooth Hermitian metric on a compact complex manifold X . For simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$ the total (Chern) scalar curvature of ω , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega) = \int_X s\omega^n = n \int_X \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Note that, when X is not Kähler, the total scalar curvature differs from the total scalar curvature of the Levi-Civita connection of the underlying Riemannian metric (e.g. [LY17]). Let \mathcal{W} be the space of smooth Gauduchon metrics on X . We obtained in [Yang17a, Theorem 1.1] a complete characterization on the image of the total scalar curvature function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ following [Gau77, Mi82, La99] (see also some special cases in [Tel06, Gau77, HW12]). By Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *The image of the total scalar function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has exactly four different cases:*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}$ if and only if both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive;

- (2) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ if and only if K_X^{-1} is RC-positive but K_X is not RC-positive;
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{<0}$ if and only if K_X is RC-positive but K_X^{-1} is not RC-positive;
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ if and only if X is Ricci-flat; or equivalently, neither K_X nor K_X^{-1} is RC-positive.

Proof. We obtained in [Yang17a, Theorem 1.1] that the image of the total scalar function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has exactly four different cases:

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}$, if and only if neither K_X nor K_X^{-1} is pseudo-effective;
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, if and only if K_X^{-1} is pseudo-effective but not unitary flat;
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{<0}$, if and only if K_X is pseudo-effective but not unitary flat;
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$, if and only if K_X is unitary flat.

By [TW10, Corollary 2], K_X is unitary flat if and only if X is Ricci-flat, i.e. there exists a Hermitian metric ω on X such that $\text{Ric}(\omega) = 0$. Hence Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3. \square

Remark 3.2. It is easy to see that Theorem 3.1 also holds for Bott-Chern classes ([Yang17a, Theorem 3.4])

As an application of Theorem 3.1, we establish Theorem 1.2, that is,

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if X is Ricci-flat, or both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.*

Proof. If X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric ω , in the conformal class of ω , there exists a Gauduchon metric $\omega_f = e^f \omega$. Then the total scalar curvature s_f of the Gauduchon metric ω_f is

$$(3.1) \quad s_f = n \int_X \text{Ric}(\omega_f) \wedge \omega_f^{n-1} = n \int_X (\text{Ric}(\omega) - n\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f) \wedge \omega_f^{n-1}.$$

Since ω_f is Gauduchon, i.e. $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_f^{n-1} = 0$, an integration by part yields

$$\begin{aligned} s_f &= n \int_X \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega_f^{n-1} \\ &= n \int_X \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge e^{(n-1)f} \omega^{n-1} \\ &= \int_X e^{(n-1)f} \cdot \text{tr}_\omega \text{Ric}(\omega) \cdot \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since ω has zero scalar curvature, i.e. $\text{tr}_\omega \text{Ric}(\omega) = 0$, we deduce that the total scalar curvature s_f of the Gauduchon metric ω_f is zero. By Theorem 3.1, we conclude that either X is Ricci-flat, or both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.

On the other hand, suppose either X is Ricci-flat, or both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive, by Theorem 3.1 again, we know X has a Gauduchon metric ω_G with zero total scalar curvature. By a conformal perturbation method, it is easy to see that there exists a Hermitian metric ω with zero scalar curvature (e.g. [Yang17a, Lemma 3.2]). Indeed, let s_G be the scalar curvature of ω_G . It is well-known (e.g. [Gau77] or [CTW16, Theorem 2.2]) that the following equation

$$(3.2) \quad s_G - \text{tr}_{\omega_G} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f = 0$$

has a solution $f \in C^\infty(X)$ since ω_G is Gauduchon and its total scalar curvature $\int_X s_G \omega_G^n$ is zero. Let $\omega = e^{\frac{f}{n}} \omega_G$. Then the scalar curvature s of ω is,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \text{tr}_\omega \text{Ric}(\omega) = -\text{tr}_\omega \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(\omega^n) \\ &= -e^{-\frac{f}{n}} \text{tr}_{\omega_G} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(e^f \omega_G^n) \\ &= -e^{-\frac{f}{n}} (s_G - \text{tr}_{\omega_G} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. \square

The proof of Corollary 1.3. It is a special case of Theorem 1.2 since Kähler manifolds with unitary flat K_X are Kähler Calabi-Yau. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Suppose X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric, or a Gauduchon metric with zero total scalar curvature. If K_X or K_X^{-1} is pseudo-effective, then X is a Kähler Calabi-Yau manifold.*

4. Projective bundles with scalar-flat metrics

In this section, we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be a nef vector bundle of rank $r \geq 2$ over a smooth curve C with genus $g \geq 2$ and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. If $0 \leq \deg(\mathcal{E}) < 2g - 2$, then both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive. In particular, X has scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.*

Let's recall some elementary settings. Suppose $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y = n$ and $r = \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$. Let π be the projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_r) be the local holomorphic frame on \mathcal{E} and the dual frame on \mathcal{E}^* is denoted by (e^1, \dots, e^r) . The corresponding holomorphic coordinates on \mathcal{E}^* are denoted by

(W_1, \dots, W_r) . If $(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is the matrix representation of a smooth metric $h^\mathcal{E}$ on \mathcal{E} with respect to the basis $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^r$, then the induced Hermitian metric $h^\mathcal{L}$ on \mathcal{L} can be written as $h^\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\sum h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} W_\alpha \bar{W}_\beta}$. The curvature of $(\mathcal{L}, h^\mathcal{L})$ is

$$(4.1) \quad R^\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} W_\alpha \bar{W}_\beta \right)$$

where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are operators on the total space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. We fix a point $p \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$, then there exist local holomorphic coordinates (z^1, \dots, z^n) centered at point $q = \pi(p) \in Y$ and local holomorphic basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ of \mathcal{E} around q such that

$$(4.2) \quad h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - R_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\mathcal{E} z^i \bar{z}^j + O(|z|^3)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume p is the point $(0, \dots, 0, [a_1, \dots, a_r])$ with $a_r = 1$. On the chart $U = \{W_r = 1\}$ of the fiber \mathbb{P}^{r-1} , we set $w^A = W_A$ for $A = 1, \dots, r-1$. By formula (4.1) and (4.2)

$$(4.3) \quad R^\mathcal{L}(p) = \sqrt{-1} \sum R_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\mathcal{E} \frac{a_\beta \bar{a}_\alpha}{|a|^2} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j + \omega_{\text{FS}}$$

where $|a|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r |a_\alpha|^2$ and $\omega_{\text{FS}} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{A,B=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{\delta_{AB}}{|a|^2} - \frac{a_B \bar{a}_A}{|a|^4} \right) dw^A \wedge d\bar{w}^B$ is the Fubini-Study metric on the fiber \mathbb{P}^{r-1} .

Lemma 4.2. *If \mathcal{E} is Griffiths-positive, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)$ is RC-positive.*

Proof. It follows from formula (4.3). Indeed, by (4.3), the induced metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ has curvature form

$$R^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)} = - \left(\sqrt{-1} \sum R_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\mathcal{E}^*} \frac{a_\beta \bar{a}_\alpha}{|a|^2} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j + \omega_{\text{FS}} \right).$$

On the other hand, $R^{\mathcal{E}^*} = -(R^\mathcal{E})^t$ and so

$$R^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)} = \sqrt{-1} \sum R_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\mathcal{E} \frac{a_\alpha \bar{a}_\beta}{|a|^2} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j - \omega_{\text{FS}}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)$ is RC-positive if $(\mathcal{E}, h^\mathcal{E})$ is Griffiths-positive. \square

Lemma 4.3. *If \mathcal{E} is a nef vector bundle over a smooth curve C . Then for any ample line bundle \mathcal{A} over C and any $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-k) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{A})$ is RC-positive.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is an ample vector bundle over C . By [CF90], $\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ has a smooth Griffiths-positive metric. In particular, by Lemma 4.2, the dual tautological line bundle

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*}(-1)$$

is RC-positive. More precisely, the base curve C direction is a positive direction of the curvature tensor of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*}(-1)$. On the other hand, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-k) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}^*}(-1) \otimes \pi_k^*(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*}(-1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\nu_k} & \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \\
\pi \downarrow & & \pi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C & \xrightarrow{f} & C & \xrightarrow{f} & C,
\end{array}$$

where $\nu_k : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\otimes k} \mathcal{E}$ is the k -th Veronese map, $f = \text{Identity}$ and i is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-k) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{A})$ is RC-positive, i.e., the induced curvature has a positive direction along the base C direction. \square

The proof of Theorem 4.1. By using the projection formula on $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$,

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-n) \otimes \pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*),$$

where $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ is the projection. If $\deg(\mathcal{E}) < 2g - 2 = \deg(K_C)$, then $\deg(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*) > 0$ and so $K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*$ is ample. By Lemma 4.3, K_X is RC-positive. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.4, it is easy to see that K_X^{-1} is RC-positive. Hence, by Theorem 1.2, X has scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. \square

5. Classification of ruled surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics

In this section, we classify ruled surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics and prove Theorem 1.5. It is well-known that any ruled surface X can be written as a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a rank two vector bundle over a smooth curve C with genus g . Moreover, two ruled surfaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} over C . Since \mathcal{E} has rank two and $X \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$, we shall use projection formulas

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-2) \otimes \pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}), \quad \pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow C$$

and

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-2) \otimes \pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*), \quad \pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$$

alternatively.

When $g = 0$, $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and each rank two vector bundle can be written as $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$. We can write a ruled surface over \mathbb{P}^1 as $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ be a Hirzebruch surface. Then the anti-canonical line bundle K_X^{-1} is effective and X has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. We have $K_X^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2-k))$. By the direct image formula (e.g. [Laz04, p.90]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, K_X^{-1}) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2-k))) \\ &= H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Sym}^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2-k)) \\ &= H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k+2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2-k)) \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any k . Therefore, K_X^{-1} is effective and K_X is not RC-positive. By Theorem 1.2, X has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow C$ be a projective bundle over an elliptic curve C where $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C$ is a rank two vector bundle. Then the K_X is not RC-positive and X has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.*

Proof. We divide the proof into three different cases.

Case 1. Suppose \mathcal{E} is indecomposable and $\deg \mathcal{E} = 0$. A well-known result of Atiyah asserts that an indecomposable vector bundle over an elliptic curve is semi-stable and so \mathcal{E} is semi-stable (e.g. [Tu93, Appendix A]). On the other hand, a semi-stable vector bundle over a curve is nef if $\deg(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0$ (e.g. [Laz04, Theorem 6.4.15]). Hence \mathcal{E} is nef. By using the projection formula,

$$(5.1) \quad K_X^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(K_C^{-1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(\det \mathcal{E}^*)$$

we deduce K_X^{-1} is nef.

Case 2. Suppose \mathcal{E} is indecomposable and $\deg(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$. There exists an étale base change $f : C' \rightarrow C$ of degree k where k is an integer such that $2|k$, and C' is also an elliptic curve. Suppose $X' = \mathbb{P}(f^* \mathcal{E}^*)$, then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ C' & \xrightarrow{f} & C. \end{array}$$

Let ℓ be an integer defined as

$$(5.2) \quad \ell = \frac{\deg(f^* \mathcal{E})}{2} = \frac{k \deg(\mathcal{E})}{2},$$

and \mathcal{F} be a line bundle over Y such that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = -\ell$. Now we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = f^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F},$$

then $\deg(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$. Since \mathcal{E} is indecomposable, it is semi-stable. Therefore $f^* \mathcal{E}$ is semi-stable (e.g. [Laz04, Lemma 6.4.12]) and so $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is semi-stable. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef since $\deg(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$. By projection formula again, we have

$$K_{X'}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(\det \tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

We deduce $K_{X'}^{-1}$ is nef. Hence K_X^{-1} is nef.

Case 3. If \mathcal{E} is decomposable, then there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L} such that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}).$$

By the projection formula (5.1) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, K_X^{-1}) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(\det \mathcal{E}^*)) \cong H^0(C, \text{Sym}^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*) \\ &= H^0(C, (\mathcal{L}^2 \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*)) \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

So K_X^{-1} is effective.

In summary, we conclude that the anti-canonical line bundle K_X^{-1} is pseudo-effective, i.e. K_X is not RC-positive. By Theorem 1.2, X has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. \square

Finally, we deal with ruled surfaces over curves of genus $g \geq 2$. For a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{E} over a curve C , in general, it is not clear whether \mathcal{E} has an extension by \mathcal{O}_C :

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf over C . However, one can obtain such an extension for $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ where \mathcal{L} is some suitable line bundle. This enables us to make the following definition (see [Fri98, p.121-p.124] for more details).

Definition 5.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank two vector bundle over a smooth curve C . The number $m(\mathcal{E})$ is defined to be the minimal degree of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ where there exists a sheaf extension of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$:

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle \mathcal{L} over C .

It is easy to see that for a sufficiently ample line bundle \mathcal{L} , $H^0(C, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ and a global section of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ gives an extension (5.4). Hence, $m(\mathcal{E})$ is well-defined. It is obvious that $m(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ for any line bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Nagata proved in [Nag70, Theorem 1] (see also [Fri98, p. 123]) that

Theorem 5.4. $m(\mathcal{E}) \leq g$.

(Note that, in [Fri98, p. 123], the notion $e(\mathcal{E})$ is exactly $-m(\mathcal{E})$.)

As we pointed out before, any ruled surface X can be written as a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and two ruled surfaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} , then we can define $m(X)$ by $m(\mathcal{E})$ for any ruled surface $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

One can see that the definition of $m(\mathcal{E})$ is related to stability of coherent sheaves. If $m(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, then \mathcal{E} is stable. Indeed, for any rank one sub-sheaf \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{E} , we have the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{E} is torsion free, \mathcal{L} is torsion free and we know \mathcal{L} is a line bundle. Therefore,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of $m(\mathcal{E})$, we have $\deg(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \geq m(\mathcal{E}) > 0$ which is equivalent to $\deg \mathcal{L} < \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}}{2}$. This implies \mathcal{E} is stable. Conversely, if \mathcal{E} is stable, by a similar argument, we can conclude $m(\mathcal{E}) > 0$. Hence, we obtain a fact pointed out in [Fri98, Proposition 12, p. 123].

Proposition 5.5. *If \mathcal{E} is a rank two vector bundle over a Riemann surface C , then \mathcal{E} is stable if and only if $m(\mathcal{E}) > 0$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.5. Let X be a ruled surface which can support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. We can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_o)$ for some rank 2 vector bundle \mathcal{E}_o over a smooth curve C . Note that, since \mathcal{E}_o has rank 2, $\mathcal{E}_o \cong \mathcal{E}_o^* \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_o$ and so $X \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_o) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_o^*)$. By Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, we know the genus $g(C) \geq 2$. On the other hand, by the above discussion, we can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = m(X)$ and \mathcal{E} has an extension

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{F}) = m(X)$.

(1). If $m(X) = \deg \mathcal{F} \leq 2 - 2g$, $X \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Indeed, we consider $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. By the exact sequence (5.5), we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore, $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$. By the Le Potier isomorphism ([LeP75]), we have

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)) \cong H^0(C, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ is effective and so it is pseudo-effective. On the other hand, since $\deg(\mathcal{E}) \leq 2 - 2g = -\deg(K_C)$, we deduce $K_C^{-1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*$ is semi-positive. By the projection formula $K_X^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2) \otimes \pi^*(K_C^{-1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*)$, we know K_X^{-1} is pseudo-effective. By Theorem 2.2, K_X is not RC-positive. By Theorem 1.2, X has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

(2). If $2 - 2g < m(X) = \deg(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$, we know $0 \leq \deg(\mathcal{E}^*) < 2g - 2$. Since \mathcal{O}_C and \mathcal{F}^* are nef, by the dual exact sequence of (5.5),

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

we deduce \mathcal{E}^* is nef with $0 \leq \deg(\mathcal{E}^*) < 2g - 2$. By Theorem 4.1, $X \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$ can support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

(3). If $0 < m(X) = \deg(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{F}) < 2g - 2$, by the exact sequence (5.5), \mathcal{E} is nef with $0 < \deg(\mathcal{E}) < 2g - 2$. By Theorem 4.1, $X \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ admits scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$.

(4). Suppose $m(X) \geq 2g - 2$. By Theorem 5.4, $m(X) \leq g$. Hence, in this case, we have $g = 2$ and $m(X) = \deg(\mathcal{E}) = 2$. We work on $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 5.5, \mathcal{E} is a stable vector bundle and $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 2$. By ([Laz04, Theorem 6.4.15]), we know \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle over a smooth curve. According to [CF90], \mathcal{E} has a smooth Griffiths-positive metric. By using Lemma 4.2, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-1)$ is RC-positive. By the projection formula again, we have

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^*}(-2) \otimes \pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*).$$

Since $\deg(K_C) = \deg(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, we know $K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*$ and $\pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^*)$ are unitary flat. Hence, we deduce K_X is RC-positive. Since X is uniruled, by Theorem 2.4, K_X^{-1} is RC-positive. Then we can apply Theorem 1.2 and assert that X has scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

In summary, we prove that a ruled surface X over a smooth curve C admits scalar-flat Hermitian metrics if and only if $g(C) \geq 2$ and $m(X) > 2 - 2g$. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed. \square

6. Classification of minimal surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics

In this section, we classify minimal surfaces with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics and prove Theorem 1.9.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold. If X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric, then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 0$ or $\kappa(X) = -\infty$.*

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 1.2, if X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric, then X has a Gauduchon metric with zero total scalar curvature. By Theorem [Yang17a, Theorem 1.4], $\kappa(X) = 0$ or $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. \square

If X is a minimal surface with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 0$, X is exactly one of the following (e.g. [BHPV04])

- (1) an Enriques surface;
- (2) a bi-elliptic surface;
- (3) a K3 surface;
- (4) a torus;
- (5) a Kodaira surface.

In this case, it is well-known that X has torsion canonical line bundle, i.e. $K_X^{\otimes 6} = \mathcal{O}_X$ (e.g. [BHPV04, p. 244]). Hence, X admits scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

If X is a minimal surface with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, then X lies in one of the following classes:

- (1) minimal rational surfaces;
- (2) ruled surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$;
- (3) minimal surfaces of class VII_0 .

Minimal rational surfaces are either \mathbb{P}^2 or Hirzebruch surfaces. Hence, by Proposition 5.1, they can not support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics.

If X is a minimal ruled surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$, by Theorem 1.9, X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if $g \geq 2$ and $m(X) > 2 - 2g$.

If X is a minimal surface of class VII_0 , then X is one of the following

- class VII_0 surfaces with $b_2 > 0$;
- Inoue surfaces: a class VII_0 surface has $b_2 = 0$ and contains no curves;

- Hopf surfaces: its universal covering is $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$, or equivalently a class VII_0 surface has $b_2 = 0$ and contains a curve.

According to the proof of [Tel06, Remark 4.2] (see also [TW13] or [HLY18, Theorem 5.1]), we know Inoue surfaces all have K_X semi-positive but not unitary flat, and so it can not support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. Similarly, it is proved in [Tel06, Remark 4.3], all Hopf surfaces have semi-positive anti-canonical bundle, and so it has no scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. For class VII_0 surfaces with $b_2 > 0$, they are not completely classified, and it is possible that some of them can support scalar-flat Hermitian metrics (see the discussion in [Tel06, p. 977-p. 979]). The proof of Theorem 1.9 is completed.

7. Examples

In this section, we exhibit several examples on ruled manifolds with scalar-flat Hermitian metrics. As a straightforward application of Theorem 1.5, we get the following result.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow C$ be a line bundle over a smooth curve of genus g and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C)$. Then X has a scalar-flat Hermitian metric if and only if $g \geq 2$ and $|\deg(\mathcal{L})| < 2g - 2$.*

We can also construct higher dimensional ruled manifolds with scalar-flat metrics.

Theorem 7.2. *Let C be a smooth curve with genus $g \geq 2$ and \mathcal{L} be a line bundle over C . Suppose $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus(n-1)}$ and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow C$ is the projective bundle. If $0 \leq \deg(\mathcal{L}) < \frac{2g-2}{n-1}$, then both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.*

Proof. By using the projection formula, we know

$$(7.1) \quad K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-n) \otimes \pi^*(K_C \otimes \det \mathcal{E}),$$

where $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ is the projection. Fix an arbitrary smooth Hermitian metric $h^{\mathcal{L}}$ on \mathcal{L} and the trivial metric on \mathcal{O}_C . Let $\{z\}$ be the local holomorphic coordinate on C . The curvature form of $(\mathcal{L}, h^{\mathcal{L}})$ is

$$(7.2) \quad R^{\mathcal{L}} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log h^{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{-1}\kappa dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Similarly, fix a smooth metric h^{K_C} on K_C , and its curvature form is

$$(7.3) \quad R^{K_C} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log h^{K_C} = \sqrt{-1}\gamma dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Hence, \mathcal{E} has the curvature form

$$(7.4) \quad R^{\mathcal{E}} = \sqrt{-1}\kappa dz \wedge d\bar{z} \otimes e^1 \otimes e^1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \sqrt{-1} \cdot 0 \cdot dz \wedge d\bar{z} \otimes e^i \otimes e^i,$$

where $e^1 = e_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the local frame of \mathcal{L} and for $i \geq 2$, $e^i = e$ is the local holomorphic frame on \mathcal{O}_C with the order in the direct sum $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus(n-1)}$.

Therefore, by (4.3), $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-n)$ has the curvature form at some point

$$R^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-n)} = \sqrt{-1} \left(-n\kappa \frac{|a_1|^2}{|a|^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \right) - n\omega_{\text{FS}}.$$

Hence, by formula (7.1), the curvature of K_X is given by

$$R^{K_X} = \sqrt{-1} \left(\left((\kappa + \gamma) - n\kappa \frac{|a_1|^2}{|a|^2} \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} \right) - n\omega_{\text{FS}}.$$

Since $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$, we can choose the smooth metric $h^{\mathcal{L}}$ such that its curvature is semi-positive, i.e. $\kappa \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$(7.5) \quad R^{K_X} \geq \sqrt{-1} ((\gamma - (n-1)\kappa) dz \wedge d\bar{z}) - n\omega_{\text{FS}}.$$

The condition $0 \leq \deg(\mathcal{L}) < \frac{2g-2}{n-1}$ implies $\deg(K_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1-n}) > 0$. Therefore, we can choose the Hermitian metric h^{K_C} on K_C such that $h^{K_C} \otimes (h^{\mathcal{L}})^{1-n}$ has positive curvature, i.e.

$$\gamma - (n-1)\kappa > 0.$$

By (7.5), we know the curvature of K_X is positive along the base direction, i.e., K_X is RC-positive. The RC-positivity of K_X^{-1} follows from Theorem 2.4. \square

Example 7.3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let C be a smooth curve of degree $d \geq n+3$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . It is easy to see that $\deg(\mathcal{O}_C(1)) = d$ and C is a curve of genus

$$(7.6) \quad g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus(n-1)}$ and $X := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow C$ be the projective bundle. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. Then

$$\frac{2g-2}{n-1} = \frac{d(d-3)}{n-1} \geq \frac{d \cdot n}{n-1} > d = \deg(\mathcal{L}) > 0.$$

Hence, the pair $(X, C, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 7.2. In particular, both K_X and K_X^{-1} are RC-positive.

The proof of Proposition 1.7. By Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 1.2, X admits a scalar-flat Hermitian metric. On the other hand, by [ACGT11, Theorem 1], X has no scalar-flat Kähler metrics since $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus(n-1)}$ is not polystable. \square

References

- [AP06] Arezzo, C.; Pacard, F. Blowing up and desingularizing constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds., *Acta Math.* **196** (2006), 179–228.
- [AP09] Arezzo, C.; Pacard, F. Blowing up Kähler manifolds with constant scalar curvature II, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170** (2009), 685–738.
- [ACGT11] Apostolov, V.; Calderbank, D.; Gauduchon, P.; Tønnesen-Friedman, C. Extremal Kähler metrics on projective bundles over a curve. *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011), 2385–2424.
- [AT06] Apostolov, V.; Tønnesen-Friedman, C. A remark on Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature on ruled complex surfaces. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38**(2006), 494–500.
- [Ati57] Atiyah, M. F. Vector bundles over an elliptic curve. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7**(1957), 414–452.
- [BHPV04] Barth, W.; Hulek, K.; Peters, C.; Van de Ven, A. *Compact complex surfaces*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [Bes86] Besse, A. *Einstein manifolds*. Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer. 1986.
- [BDPP13] Boucksom, S.; Demailly, J.-P.; Paun, M.; Peternell, P. The pseudoeffective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **22** (2013) 201–248.
- [BD88] Burns, D.; De Bartolomeis, P. Stability of vector bundles and extremal metrics. *Invent. Math.* **92** (1988), 403–407.
- [Cal82] Calabi, E. Extremal Kähler metrics. *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, pp. 259–290, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, 102, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
- [Cal85] Calabi, E. Extremal Kähler metrics. II. *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, 95–114, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [CF90] Campana, F.; Flenner, H. A characterization of ample vector bundles on a curve. *Math. Ann.* **287** (1990), no. 4, 571–575.
- [CT08] Chen, X. X.; Tian, G. Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études Sci. No. 107* (2008), 1–107.
- [CTW16] Chu, J.-C.; Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *The Monge-Ampère equation for non-integrable almost complex structures*. [arXiv:1603.00706](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00706). To appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*
- [Dem] Demailly, J.-P. *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Higher Education Press, *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*, Vol. 1, 2010.
- [DPS94] Demailly, J.-P.; Peternell, T.; Schneider, M. Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994), no. 2, 295–345.
- [Don01] Donaldson, S. Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I, *J. Differential Geom.* **59** (2001), 479–522.
- [Fri98] Friedman, Robert. *Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

- [Fut83] Futaki, A. An obstruction to the existence of Einstein-Kähler metrics, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 437–443.
- [Fut93] Futaki, A. Scalar-flat closed manifolds not admitting positive scalar curvature metrics. *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), 23–29.
- [Fuj92] Fujiki, A. Remarks on extremal Kähler metrics on ruled manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.* **126** (1992), 89–101.
- [Gau77] Gauduchon, P. Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **285** (1977), no. 5, A387-A390.
- [Ga77] Gauduchon, P. Fibrés hermitiens à endomorphisme de Ricci non-négatif, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **105** (1977), 113–140.
- [HW12] Heier, G; Wong, B. Scalar curvature and uniruledness on projective manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **20** (2012), no. 4, 751–764.
- [HLY18] He, J.; Liu, K.-F.; Yang, X.-K. Levi-Civita Ricci flat metrics on compact complex manifolds. [arXiv:1806.07206](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.07206)
- [Hong99] Hong, Y.-J. Constant Hermitian scalar curvature equations on ruled manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **53** (1999), 465–516.
- [La99] A. Lamari, Le cône kählerien d'une surface, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **78** (1999), 249–263.
- [Laz04] Lazarsfeld, R. *Positivity in algebraic geometry*. II *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [LeP75] Le Potier, J. Annulation de la cohomologie à valeurs dans un fibré vectoriel holomorphe positif de rang quelconque. (French) *Math. Ann.* **218**(1975), no. 1, 35–53.
- [Leb86] LeBrun, C. On the topology of self-dual 4-manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**(1986), 637–640.
- [Leb91] LeBrun, C. Scalar-flat Kähler metrics on blown-up ruled surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **420**(1991), 161–177.
- [LS93] LeBrun, C.; Singer, M. Existence and deformation theory for scalar-flat Kähler metrics on compact complex surfaces. *Invent. Math.* **112**(1993), 273–313.
- [LS94] LeBrun, C.; Singer, M. Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory, *Geom. and Func. Anal.* **4** (1994), 298–336.
- [LY17] Liu, K.-F.; Yang, X.-K. Ricci curvatures on Hermitian manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 5157–5196.
- [Mat57] Matsushima, Y. Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kählérienne, *Nagoya Math. J.* **11** (1957), 145–150.
- [Mi82] Michelsohn, M. L. On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry. *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), 261–295.
- [Nag70] Nagata, M. On self-intersection number of a section on a ruled surface. *Nagoya Math. J.* **37**(1970), 191–196.
- [RS05] Rollin, Y.; Singer, M. Non-minimal scalar-flat Kähler surfaces and parabolic stability. *Invent. Math.* **162** (2005), 235–270.
- [Ross06] Ross, J. Unstable products of smooth curves. *Invent. Math.* **165** (2006), no. 1, 153–162.

- [RT06] Ross, J.; Thomas, R. An obstruction to the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics. *J. Differential Geom.* **72** (2006), 429–466.
- [Sim91] Simanca, S. Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature on bundles over CP_{n-1} , *Math. Ann.* **291** (1991), 239–246.
- [Sto08] Stoppa, J. K-stability of constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **221** (2009), 1397–1408.
- [Sze14] Székelyhidi, G. *An introduction to extremal Kähler metrics*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 152. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [Sze17] Székelyhidi, G. Kähler-Einstein metrics. [arXiv:1710.06042](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.06042).
- [Tel06] Teleman, A. The pseudo-effective cone of a non-Kählerian surface and applications. *Math. Ann.* **335**(2006), 965–989.
- [Tian90] Tian, G. On Calabi’s conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class, *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), 101–172.
- [Tian97] Tian, G. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, *Invent. Math.* **137** (1997), 1–37.
- [TW10] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 1187–1195.
- [TW13] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. The Chern-Ricci flow on complex surfaces. *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), 2101–2138.
- [Tu93] Tu, L.-W. Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve. *Advance Math.* **98** (1993), 1–26.
- [Yang17a] Yang, X.-K. Scalar curvature on compact complex manifolds. [arXiv:1705.02672](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02672). *To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [Yang17] Yang, X.-K. A partial converse to the Andreotti-Grauert theorem. [arXiv:1707.08006](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08006). *To appear in Compositio. Math.*
- [Yang18] Yang, X.-K. RC-positivity, rational connectedness and Yau’s conjecture. *Camb. J. Math.* **6** (2018), 183–212.
- [Yang18a] Yang, X.-K. RC-positivity, vanishing theorems and rigidity of holomorphic maps. [arXiv:1807.02601](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02601)
- [Yau74] Yau, S.-T. On the curvature of compact Hermitian manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 213–239.
- [Yau78] Yau, S.-T. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), 339–411. MR 0480350
- [Yau82] Yau, S.-T. Problem section. In Seminar on Differential Geometry, *Ann. of Math Stud.* 102, 669–706. 1982.

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.

Address of Xiaokui Yang: Morningside Center of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Hua Loo-Keng Center of Mathematical Sciences, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.

E-mail address: xkyang@amss.ac.cn